

# New Series of Ruthenium(II) and Osmium(II) Complexes Showing Solid-State Phosphorescence in Far-Visible and Near-Infrared

# Jing-Lin Chen,† Yun Chi,\* and Kellen Chen

Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan. † Present address: School of Material and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, Jiangxi 341000, China.

# Yi-Ming Cheng, Min-Wen Chung, Ya-Chien Yu, Gene-Hsiang Lee, and Pi-Tai Chou\*

Department of Chemistry and Instrumentation Center, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

## Ching-Fong Shu

Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan

Received March 26, 2009

reade of the energy and the state of th A new Ru<sup>ll</sup> complex, [Ru(fpbpymH)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> (1), in which fpbpymH = [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)methane, was prepared by the treatment of  $[Ru(DMSO)_4Cl_2]$  with 2 equiv of the terdentate chelate fpbpymH in refluxing ethanol. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1 revealed a distorted octahedral Ru<sup>II</sup> framework, showing strong N-H $\cdots$ Cl hydrogen bonding between the fpbpymH ligand and Cl anions. In the presence of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the methylene linkers of chelates in 1 underwent stepwise oxygenation, forming the charge-neutral complexes  $[Ru(fpbpym)(fpbpyk)]$  (2) and  $[Ru(fpbpyk)]$ (3) [fpbpykH = [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl) ketone] in sequence. The respective charge-neutral Os<sup>II</sup> complex  $[Os(fpbyk)<sub>2</sub>]$  (4) was also isolated by the treatment of OsCl<sub>3</sub>  $3H<sub>2</sub>O$  with 2 equiv of the terdentate chelate fpbpymH. Electrochemical analysis indicated that the introduction of the electron-withdrawing ketone group in 2-4 increased the metal-based oxidation potential in sequence. For the photophysical properties, complexes  $1-4$  are essentially nonluminescent in solution (e.g., CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or MeOH) at room temperature, but all exhibit 600-1100 nm phosphorescence with moderate intensity for the powdery, solid sample at room temperature. The trend in terms of the emission peak wavelength of  $1$  (666 nm) <  $3$  (795 nm) <  $2$  (810 nm) <  $4$  (994 nm) among titled complexes is in agreement with the corresponding onset of absorption spectra as well as the time-dependent density functional theory calculation of  $1 < 3 < 2 < 4$ .

### 1. Introduction

Since the first preparation of the  $2,2$ ':6',2"-terpyridine (tpy) chelate was reported over 75 years ago,<sup>1</sup> the chemistry of tpy-based, transition-metal complexes has been the target of extensive investigation.<sup>2</sup> In particular, the target of extensive investigation. In particular, the respective  $Ru<sup>II</sup>$  and  $Os<sup>II</sup>$  complexes have exhibited excellent chemical stability, reversible redox processes,

and good absorptivity and luminescence in visible and nearinfrared (NIR) regions. $3$  Recently, the fast development of transition-metal-based supramolecular chemistry has also revitalized relevant research on bis-terdentate  $Ru^{II}$  and  $Os^{II}$ complexes. This is mainly attributed to the fact that, upon insertion of conjugated  $\pi$  bridges between these complexes, the resulting rodlike donor-sensitizer-acceptor molecular assemblies are capable of executing directional electron and/or energy transfers with high efficiencies.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ychi@mx.nthu. edu.tw (Y.C.), chop@ntu.edu.tw (P.-T.C.). Fax: +886 3 572 0864 (Y.C.),  $+886$  2 2369 5208 (P.-T.C.).

<sup>(1)</sup> Morgan, G. T.; Burstall, F. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1932, 20.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Constable, E. C. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* 1994,  $42$ ,  $67$ . (b) Cargill

Thompson, A. M. W. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1997, 160, 1.<br>(3) (a) Campagna, S.; Puntoriero, F.; Nastasi, F.; Bergamini, G.; Balzani, V. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2007, 280, 117. (b) Kumaresan, D.; Shankar, K.; Vaidya, S.; Schmehl, R. H. Top. Curr. Chem. 2007, 281, 101.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Sauvage, J. P.; Collin, J.-P.; Chambron, J.-C.; Guillerez, S.; Coudret, C.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; De Cola, L.; Flamigni, L. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 993. (b) Zeng, F.; Zimmerman, S. C. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 1681. (c) Flamigni, L.; Barigelletti, F.; Armaroli, N.; Collin, J.-P.; Dixon, I. M.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Williams, J. A. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 190, 671. (d) Collin, J.-P.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Gavina, P.; Jimenez-Molero, M. C.; Sauvage, J.-P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 477.



Accordingly, much effort has been made in the design and synthesis of terdentate polypyridine ligands to improve the photophysical properties of the corresponding  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  and  $Os<sup>H</sup>$ complexes.<sup>5</sup> The typical approach takes advantage of the substituent effects for tuning the energy gap of  $3$ MLCT and <sup>3</sup>MC states,<sup>6</sup> which involves the insertion of judiciously selected heterocyclic substituents to the tpy chelate.' Also, an increase of the ligand bite angle<sup>8</sup> was attempted by making the complex adopt a less distorted octahedral arrangement and hence increased the ligand field strength and reduced the nonradiative decay. For instance, as shown in Chart 1, when one methylene group is introduced between the pyridyl units of terpyridine, the ligand bite angle of the corresponding complex  $\text{[Ru(bppm)_2]}^{2+}$  (bppm =  $\text{[6-(2,2'-bipyridy])}^{2-}$ dyl)methane]) is increased, affording a longer excited-state lifetime  $(17-18$  ns) relative to its parent complex [Ru- $(tpy)_2$ ]<sup>2+</sup> (0.25 ns),<sup>9</sup> for which the shortened lifetime is most probably due to the distortion of metal-ligand bonding away from the perfect octahedral arrangement. This leads to a decrease of the ligand field strength and a stabilization of the nonemissive d-d excited states relative to the desired metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) excited state.<sup>4a</sup> Furthermore, employing 2,6-bis(quinolin-8-yl)pyridine (bqp), because of its capability of forming double hexagonal metallacycles, could, in turn, reduce the strain energy. As a consequence, the as-prepared octahedral complex  $[Ru(bqp)_2]^{2+}$  shows a markedly enhanced excited-state lifetime of  $3.0 \mu s$  at room temperature.<sup>10</sup> It is thus feasible that the enlargement of the ligand bite angle may gain positive impact on the improvement of both the chemical stabilities and photophysical properties of metal complexes.



Chart 3



Alternatively, being ascribed to a class of terdentate chelate 6-(5-trifluoromethyl-pyrazol-3-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (fpbpyH; Chart 2) has latent potential for the preparation of various luminescent metal complexes for organic light emitting diodes  $(OLEDs)^{11}$  as well as dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) applications.<sup>12</sup> Particularly, the related bidentate azolate chelates have been widely utilized in obtaining emissive  $Ru^{II}, Os^{II}, Ir^{III}, and Pt^{II}$  metal complexes that are useful for the fabrication of highly efficient phosphorescent OLEDs.13,14 Encouraged by these successful attempts, we decided to shift our research endeavors to the [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)methane (fpbpymH) ligand, which is a modification of the respective terdentate fpbpyH. Upon introduction of a saturated methylene linker, fpbpymH would exhibit better skeletal flexibility in a manner similar to that of the bppm and bqp chelates discussed earlier. It is thus of great interest to know if such a modification has any effect on the chemical and photophysical properties versus those synthesized using its parent fpbpyH chelate as well as the oxidized fbppykH analogue, [5-(trifluoromethyl)  $pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)$  ketone (see Chart 2).

Herein, we describe the syntheses and structural and photophysical studies of three  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  complexes  $1-3$  emanating from the reaction of the terdentate fpbpymH with a  $[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]$  reagent, among which 2 and 3 are obtained from a ligand oxidation occurring on their predecessor 1 (see Chart 3). On the other hand, the reaction of fpbpymH with OsCl3 failed to afford nonoxidized and partially oxidized

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Hammarström, L.; Barigelletti, F.; Flamigni, L.; Indelli, M. T.; Armaroli, N.; Calogero, G.; Guardigli, M.; Sour, A.; Collin, J.-P.; Sauvage, J. P. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 9061. (b) Medlycott, E. A.; Hanan, G. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 133. (c) Harriman, A.; Ziessel, R. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1707. (d) Medlycott, E. A.; Hanan, G. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 1763.<br>(6) (a) Maestri, M.; Armaroli, N.; Balzani, V.; Constable, E. C.;

Thompson, A. M. W. *Inorg. Chem.* 1995, 34, 2759. (b) Benniston, A. C.; Harriman, A.; Grosshenny, V.; Ziessel, R. New J. Chem. 1997, 21, 405. (c) Benniston, A. C.; Grosshenny, V.; Harriman, A.; Ziessel, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 1884. (d) Fang, Y.-Q.; Taylor, N. J.; Hanan, G. S.; Loiseau, F.; Passalacqua, R.; Campagna, S.; Nierengarten, H.; van Dorsselaer, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7912.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Beley, M.; Chodorowski, S.; Collin, J.-P.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Flamigni, L.; Barigelletti, F. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, 33, 2543. (b) Mano, A.; Stefio, I.; Poggi, A.; Tringali, C.; Di Pietro, C.; Campagna, S. New J. Chem. 1997, 21, 1173. (c) Duati, M.; Tasca, S.; Lynch, F. C.; Bohlen, H.; Vos, J. G.; Stagni, S.; Ward, M. D. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 8377.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Abrahamsson, M.; Wolpher, H.; Johansson, O.; Larsson, J.; Kritikos, M.; Eriksson, L.; Norrby, P.-O.; Bergquist, J.; Sun, L.; Åkermark, B.; Hammarström, L. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 3215. (b) Abrahamsson, M.; Becker, H.-C.; Hammarström, L.; Bonnefous, C.; Chamchoumis, C.; Thummel, R. P. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 10354.

<sup>(9)</sup> Wolpher, H.; Johansson, O.; Abrahamsson, M.; Kritikos, M.; Sun, L.; Åkermark, B. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2004, 7, 337.<br>(10) (a) Abrahamsson, M.; Jäger, M.; Österman, T.; Eriksson, L.;

Persson, P.; Becker, H.-C.; Johansson, O.; Hammarström, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12616. (b) Abrahamsson, M.; Jaeger, M.; Kumar, R. J.; Oesterman, T.; Persson, P.; Becker, H.-C.; Johansson, O.; Hammarstroem, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15533.

<sup>(11) (</sup>a) Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1421. (b) Chen, K.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Chi, Y.; Ho, M.-L.; Lai, C.-H.; Chou, P.-T.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. Chem. Asian J. 2007, 2, 155. (c) Song, Y.-H.; Chiu, Y.-C.; Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Lin, C.-W.; Lee, G.-H.; Carty, A. J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 80. (d) Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, DOI: 10.1039/b916237b.

<sup>(12) (</sup>a) Chen, K.-S.; Liu, W.-H.; Wang, Y.-H.; Lai, C.-H.; Chou, P.-T.; Lee, G.-H.; Chen, K.; Chen, H.-Y.; Chi, Y.; Tung, F.-C. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2007, 17, 2964. (b) Chen, K.; Hong, Y.-H.; Chi, Y.; Liu, W.-H.; Chen, B.-S.; Chou, P.-T. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2009, 19, 5329. (c) Chen, B.-S.; Chen, K.; Hong, Y.-H.; Liu, W.-H.; Li, T.-H.; Lai, C.-H.; Chou, P.-T.; Chi, Y.; Lee, G.-H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5844.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Chou, P.-T.; Chi, Y. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 3319. (b) Chou,

P.-T.; Chi, Y. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 380.<br>(14) (a) Yang, C.-H.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Chi, Y.; Hsu, C.-J.; Fang, F.-C.; Wong, K.-T.; Chou, P.-T.; Chang, C.-H.; Tsai, M.-H.; Wu, C.-C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2418. (b) Niu, Y.-H.; Liu, M. S.; Ka, J.-W.; Bardeker, J.; Zin, M. T.; Schofield, S.; Chi, Y.; Jen, A. K.-Y. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 300. (c) Hwang, K.-C.; Chen, J.-L.; Chi, Y.; Lin, C.-W.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Lee, G.-H.; Chou, P.-T.; Lin, S.-Y.; Shu, C.-F. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 47, 3307.

derivatives, namely,  $[Os(fpbpymH)_2]^{2+}$  and  $[Os(fpbpym)-$ (fpbpyk)], but only the doubly oxidized product [Os(fpb $pyk)$ <sub>2</sub>] (4). The successful isolation of  $2-4$  demonstrates a higher tendency to form the fpbpyk chelate, which could be due to the synergism involving the greater  $\pi$  stabilization induced by the carbonyl group and facile oxidation at the activated position in the ligand framework of Ru<sup>II</sup>-based polypyridophenazine complexes.15 Moreover, the methylene-to-carbonyl conversion discussed, which is similar to what occurred in  $2,6$ -bis(2-pyridylmethyl)pyridine,<sup>16</sup> caused the resulting fpbpyk ligand to afford a much reduced ligandcentered energy gap, as evidenced by their photophysical and electrochemical data elaborated in the following sections.

#### 2. Experimental Section

General Information and Materials. All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using anhydrous solvents or solvents treated with an appropriate drying reagent. Oxygen- $^{18}O_2$ , 97 atom %  $^{18}O$ , was purchased from ISOTEC. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL SX-102A instrument operating in electron impact (EI) or fast atom bombardment (FAB) mode. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury-400 or INOVA-500 instrument. Elemental analyses were conducted at the NSC Regional Instrumentation Center at National Chiao Tung University.

The targeted fpbpymH chelate was prepared in three consecutive steps. First, 6-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained from the reaction of 2,2'-bipyridine with methyllithium and oxidative workup,<sup>17</sup> while 6-acetonyl-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained from lithiation of 6-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine with lithium diisopropylamide at  $-78$  °C and subsequent treatment with dimethylacetamide.<sup>18</sup> Finally, the fpbpymH ligand was synthesized by condensation of 6-acetonyl-2,2'-bipyridine and ethyl trifluoroacetate, followed by cyclization with an excess of hydrazine hydrate in refluxing ethanol.<sup>17,19</sup>

Preparation of [5-(Trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)methane (fpbpymH). To a stirred mixture of NaOEt  $(1.2 g,$ 17.63 mmol) and THF (45 mL) at 0  $^{\circ}$ C was added a 30 mL solution of 6-acetonyl-2,2'-bipyridine  $(2.5 \text{ g}, 11.78 \text{ mmol})$  in THF, followed by the addition of ethyl trifluoroacetate (2.1 mL, 17.62 mmol). The mixture was allowed to heat for 12 h at 80  $^{\circ}$ C and then was quenched with  $2 \text{ M}$  HCl until pH  $5-6$ . The resulting mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  80 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water, dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and concentrated under vacuum to give the corresponding β-diketone compound (3.5 g).

Without further purification, hydrazine monohydrate (98%, 3.0 mL, 62 mmol) was added into a solution of the  $\beta$ -diketone reagent in EtOH (50 mL). After reflux for 12 h, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL), and the solution was washed with water, dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and concentrated. Finally, the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a 7:3 mixture of CH2Cl2 and ethyl acetate, giving the terdentate ligand fpbpymH as a white solid. Yield: 1.3 g, 4.27 mmol, 36%.

Spectral data for fpbpymH: EI-MS  $m/z$  304 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  11.80 (s, 1H), 8.71 (d,  $J = 5.0 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 8.25  $(t, J = 9.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.86 (td,  $J = 7.8$  and 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t,  $J =$ 8.0 Hz, 1H),  $7.37 - 7.33$  (m, 1H),  $7.24$  (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H),  $4.26$  (s, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –62.13 (s, 3F).

Preparation of  $[Ru(fpbpymH)_2]Cl_2$  (1). A mixture of Ru- $(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (97 mg, 0.200 mmol) and fpbpymH (129 mg, 0.424 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was recrystallized from a saturated  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  and ethanol mixture (15:1) to give dark-brown crystals. Yield: 80 mg, 0.102 mmol, 51%. Single crystals were obtained upon placement of the supersaturated solution of 1 in a 1:20 mixture of methanol and  $CH_2Cl_2$ .

Spectral data for  $1:$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, methanol- $d_4$ , 298 K)  $\delta$  8.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.43 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.33 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.86 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.18 (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.03 (s, 2H), 6.93 (d,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 2H), 4.93 (d,  $J = 17$  Hz, 2H), 4.42 (d,  $J = 17$  Hz, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470) MHz, methanol- $d_4$ , 298 K)  $\delta$  -62.12 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{30}H_{22}Cl_{2}F_{6}N_{8}Ru \cdot CH_{3}OH \cdot {}^{1}/_{2}CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ : C, 44.25; H, 3.18; N, 13.11. Found: C, 44.26; H, 3.44; N, 13.35.

Preparation of  $[Ru(fpbpym)(fpbpyk)]$  (2). A mixture of  $[Ru-$ (DMSO)4Cl2] (77 mg, 0.159 mmol), fpbpymH (102 mg, 0.335 mmol), and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (36 mg, 0.340 mmol) in ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated; the residue was then subjected to silica gel column chromatography, and the product was eluted with a 2:1 mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and acetonitrile. Complex 2 was further purified by recrystallization from a 1:3 mixture of acetone and hexane at room temperature. Yield: 40 mg, 0.0554 mmol, 35%. Single crystals were obtained by the slow diffusion of an n-hexane solvent into the acetone solution of 2.

Spectral data for 2: MS (FAB,  $^{101}$ Ru)  $m/z$  723 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1); IR  $(KBr) \nu (CO) 1628 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K)  $\delta$ 8.84 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d,  $J =$ 7.5 Hz, 1H),  $8.25-8.17$  (m, 3H),  $8.12$  (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.65-7.61 (m, 3H), 7.37 (d,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 7.00 (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 4.79 (d,  $J = 17$  Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d,  $J = 17$  Hz, 1H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K)  $\delta$  -60.03 (s, 3F, CF<sub>3</sub>), -61.31 (s, 3F, CF<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{30}H_{18}F_6N_8ORu$ : C, 49.94; H, 2.51; N, 15.53. Found: C, 49.75; H, 2.89; N, 15.30.

**Preparation of**  $\left[\text{Ru(fpbpyk)}_{2}\right]$  **(3). Method A.** A mixture of  $[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]$  (83 mg, 0.171 mmol), fpbpymH (112 mg, 0.368 mmol), and  $Na_2CO_3$  (57 mg, 0.538 mmol) in 25 mL of diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (DGME) was heated at 170 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to alumina oxide column chromatography, eluting with a 9:1 mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and acetonitrile. Complex 3 was further purified by recrystallization from a 1:5 mixture of acetone and diethyl ether at room temperature. Yield: 45 mg, 0.0612 mmol, 36%. Single crystals were obtained by the slow diffusion of *n*-hexane into an acetone solution of 3.

Method B. A mixture of  $2(10 \text{ mg}, 0.0138 \text{ mmol})$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ (15 mg, 0.141 mmol) in ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under an oxygen atmosphere. Application of similar workup procedures gave isolation of 8.5 mg of 3 (0.0115 mmol, 83%).

**Method C.** A mixture of  $\text{[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]}$  (36 mg, 0.0743) mmol), fpbpymH (49 mg, 0.161 mmol), and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (31 mg, 0.292 mmol) in 10 mL of DGME was heated at 170  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h under an oxygen atmosphere. Application of similar workup procedures gave isolation of 40 mg of 3 (0.054 mmol, 73%).

<sup>(15)</sup> Rau, S.; Schwalbe, M.; Losse, S.; Görls, H.; McAlister, C.;

MacDonnell, F. M.; Vos, J. G. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 1031.<br>(16) (a) Abrahamsson, M.; Lundqvist, M. J.; Wolpher, H.; Johansson, O.; Eriksson, L.; Bergquist, J.; Rasmussen, T.; Becker, H.-C.; Hammarström, L.; Norrby, P.-O.; Åkermark, B.; Persson, P. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 47, 3540. (b) Schramm, F.; Meded, V.; Fliegl, H.; Fink, K.; Fuhr, O.; Qu, Z.; Klopper, W.; Finn, S.; Keyes, T. E.; Ruben, M. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 5677.<br>(17) Garber, T.; Van Wallendael, S.; Rillema, D. P.; Kirk, M.; Hatfield,

W. E.; Welch, J. H.; Singh, P. *Inorg. Chem*. 1990, 29, 2863.

<sup>(18) (</sup>a) Wolfe, J. F.; Murray, T. P. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 354. (b) Pasquinet, E.; Rocca, P.; Godard, A.; Marsais, F.; Quéguiner, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 3807. (c) Savage, S. A.; Smith, A. P.; Fraser, C. L. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 10048.

<sup>(19)</sup> Constable, E. C.; Heirtzler, F.; Neuburger, M.; Zehnder, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5606.

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structural Refinement Parameters for Complexes <sup>1</sup>-<sup>4</sup>



Spectral data for 3: MS (FAB, <sup>101</sup>Ru)  $m/z$  736 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (KBr)  $\nu$ (CO) 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K)  $\delta$ 8.89 (dd,  $J = 8.25$  and 1.25 Hz, 2H), 8.62 (dd,  $J = 8.25$  and 1.25 Hz, 2H), 8.31 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 4H), 7.70 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 7.64  $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.08 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 7.06 \text{ (t, } J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}); \,^{19}\text{F}$ NMR (470 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K)  $\delta$  –61.45 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{30}H_{16}F_6N_8O_2Ru$ : C, 48.99; H, 2.19; N, 15.23. Found: C, 49.24; H, 2.46; N, 14.94.

Preparation of <sup>18</sup>O-Enriched 3. To a nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was added  $[Ru(DMSO)_4Cl_2]$  (50 mg, 0.103 mmol), fpbpymH (68 mg, 0.223 mmol),  $Na_2CO_3$  (69 mg, 0.651 mmol), and degassed DGME (10 mL). The flask was evacuated, and  $^{18}O_2$  was admitted into the flask until the pressure of the  $^{18}O_2$  gas reached 1 atm. The above mixture was heated at 150 °C for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to alumina oxide column chromatography, eluting with a 9:1 mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and acetonitrile. Recrystallization from a 1:5 mixture of acetone and diethyl ether gave 71 mg of 3 (0.096 mmol, 93%).

Preparation of  $[Os(fpbpyk)_2]$  (4). A mixture of  $OsCl_3.3H_2O$ (49 mg, 0.140 mmol) and fpbpymH (108 mg, 0.355 mmol) in 20 mL of DGME was heated at 170  $\degree$ C for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography, eluting with a 10:1 mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and acetonitrile. The product was further recrystallized from a 1:6 mixture of acetone and diethyl ether at room temperature. Yield: 37 mg, 0.045 mmol, 32%. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained by the slow diffusion of n-hexane into an acetone solution of 4.

Spectral data for 4: MS (FAB,  $^{192}Os$ )  $m/z$  826 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (KBr)  $v(CO)$  1626 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K)  $\delta$  8.84  $(d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ ,  $8.55$   $(d, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ ,  $8.23$   $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz},$ 2H), 7.86 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t,  $J = 7.75$  Hz, 2H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 6.93 (t,  $J = 6.25$  Hz, 2H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz,  $CD_3CN$ , 298 K)  $\delta$  -61.56 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{30}H_{16}F_6N_8O_2Os$ : C, 43.69; H, 1.96; N, 13.59. Found: C, 43.44; H, 2.26; N, 13.33.

X-ray Diffraction Studies. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of 1-4 were measured on a Bruker SMART Apex CCD diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The data collection was executed using the SMART program. Cell refinement and data reduction were performed with the SAINT program. The structure was determined using the SHELXTL/ PC program and refined using full-matrix least squares. The crystals of 1 are of relatively poor quality because of the incorporation of three different kinds of solvates in the crystal lattices, namely,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , water, and methanol; thus, its bond distances and angles showed much larger standard deviations and had to be handled with caution. The crystallographic refinement parameters of complexes 1-4 are summarized in Table 1.

Spectral Measurement. Steady-state absorption was recorded with a Hitachi (U-3310) spectrophotometer. For measurement of the NIR emission, a continuous-wave Ar-ion laser (514 nm, Coherent Innova 90) was used as the excitation source and was modulated at approximately 140 Hz by a mechanical chopper. The NIR emission was then recorded at a direction perpendicular to the pump beam and sent through a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research System SR830) before being detected by an NIR-sensitive photomultiplier tube (Hamamatzu R5509-72) operated at  $-80$  °C.

Electrochemical Measurement. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out in a three-compartment cell using a glassy carbon disk working electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and a  $Ag/Ag^+$ (CH Instruments, 10 mM  $AgNO<sub>3</sub>$  in MeCN) reference electrode. All experiments were performed in dry acetonitrile with 0.1 M tertrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. Potentials are quoted versus the ferrocene/ ferrocenium couple (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> = 0.0 V).

Computational Methodology. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the electronic singlet and triplet states of complexes 1-4 were carried out using a hybrid Hartree-Fock/density functional model (PBE1PBE) based on the Perdew-Burke-Erzenrhof (PBE) functional.<sup>20</sup> Restricted and unrestricted formalisms were adopted in the singlet and triplet geometry optimization calculations, respectively. A double-ζ quality basis set consisting of Hay and Wadt's effective core potentials (LANL2DZ) was employed for the Ru and Os atoms and a 6-31G\* basis set for the H, C, N, O and F atoms, while a relativistic effective core potential was applied to the inner core

<sup>(20) (</sup>a) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1997, 78, 1396. (c) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 6158.

electrons of those heavy transition metals.<sup>21</sup> Time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) calculations for the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$  and  $S_0 \rightarrow T_n$ transitions using the PBE1PBE functional were then performed based on the optimized geometries at ground state to probe the emissive properties.<sup>22</sup> Oscillator strengths were deduced from the dipole transition matrix elements (for singlet states only). All calculations were carried out using  $\ddot{G}$ aussian 03.<sup>23</sup>

Electronic configurations of  $3$ MLCT and  $3$ MC dd states were calculated following the literature methodology.<sup>16,24</sup> The <sup>3</sup>MLCT state geometry was obtained by geometry optimization along the triplet-state potential energy surface (PES) using the X-ray crystal structure as the initial geometry. As for the <sup>3</sup>MC dd state, because the electron densities are mainly distributed on the central metal atom, the metal-chelate bonding interaction can be neglected for simplicity. We then performed geometry optimization of the <sup>3</sup>MC dd state following the methodology<br>illustrated in Persson's work.<sup>16</sup> The method starts with a distorted geometry, for which the metal-ligand bonds are largely elongated, so that its associated energy is expected to be far away from the global minimum along the PES. The resulting <sup>3</sup>MC dd structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis. The frontier orbitals of the  ${}^{3}$ MC dd states of complexes 1–4 are depicted in the Supporting Information (see Figures S1-S4).

The compositions of the molecular orbitals in terms of the constituent chemical fragments were calculated using the AO-Mix program.<sup>25</sup> For characterization of the HOMO- $x \rightarrow$  $LUMO + y$  transitions as partial CT transitions, the following definition of the CT character was used:

$$
CT(M) = \% (M) HOMO - x - \% (M) LUMO + y
$$

where %(M)HOMO-x and %(M)LUMO+y are electronic densities on the metal in  $HOMO-x$  and  $LUMO+y$ . If the excited state, e.g.,  $S_1$  or  $T_1$ , is formed by more than one singleelectron excitation, then the metal CT character of this excited state is expressed as a sum of the CT characters of each participating excitation,  $i \rightarrow j$ :

$$
CT_I(M) = \sum_{i,a} [C_I(i \rightarrow j)]^2 [\% (M)_i - \% (M)_j]
$$

where  $C_1(i\rightarrow j)$  are the appropriate coefficients of the Ith eigenvector of the CI matrix.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization. Synthesis of the homoleptic  $Ru^{II}$  complex 1 was performed using  $[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]$  and 2 equiv of fpbpymH in refluxing ethanol. This complex is soluble in protic solvents such as methanol. The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectrum in CD<sub>3</sub>OD exhibits two methylene doublets at  $\delta$  4.93 and 4.42 with  $J_{\text{HH}} = 17$ Hz, consistent with their diastereotopic nature, together with multiple aromatic proton signals in the region  $\delta$ 



**Figure 1.** ORTEP diagram of **1** with thermal ellipsoids shown at 25% property level. Selective distances  $(\vec{A})$ :  $Ru(1) - N(1) = 2.034(8)$ , Ru- $(1)-N(2) = 2.049(7), Ru(1)-N(3) = 2.061(8), Ru(1)-N(5) = 2.046(7),$  $Ru(1)-N(6) = 2.059(7), Ru(1)-N(7) = 2.061(7), Cl(1)\cdots H(4) = 2.190,$  $Cl(2)\cdots H(8) = 2.202$ ,  $N(8)\cdots H(11A) = 3.083$ ,  $N(4)\cdots H(26B) =$ 2.970. Bond angles (deg):  $N(1)-Ru(1)-N(3) = 170.7(3)$ ,  $N(5)-Ru (1)-N(7) = 170.3(3), N(2)-Ru(1)-N(6) = 168.1(3).$ 

8.66-6.92. However, a unique NH signal was not detected, which is apparently because of its higher acidity and, hence, a faster and reversible proton dissociation upon dissolution in polar solvents. A similar phenomenon has been documented in Pt<sup>II</sup> complexes possessing the related azolate chelates.<sup>26</sup> Structural characterization of 1 further confirms the capability of fpbpymH to act as a neutral chelate, despite of having such an acidic N-H  $\text{group.}^{27}$ 

The single crystals of 1 were obtained by cooling of a supersaturated solution prepared from a 1:20 mixture of methanol and  $CH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature. As shown in Figure 1, the structure of 1 shows a much optimized octahedral geometry compared to its parent complex  $[Ru(tpy)_2]^2$ , for which the transoidal N-Ru-N angles are measured to be 170.7, 170.3, and 168.1 $\degree$  for 1 and 158, 158, and 178° for the parent  $\left[\text{Ru(tpy)}_{2}\right]^{2+}$  complex.<sup>28</sup>

Moreover, both fpbpymH chelates appear as neutral ligands. In addition, these N-H protons are linked to two noncoordinated chlorides with distances  $Cl(1) \cdots H(4) =$ 2.190 A and  $Cl(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot H(8) = 2.202$  A, showing obvious hydrogen-bonding interaction. The  $Ru-N_{bpy}$  bond distances span from  $2.034$  to  $2.059$  A, which are comparable to the average distance for Ru–N vectors in  $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3\right]^{2+}$  $({\sim}2.056 \text{ Å})^{29}$  but are longer than the middle Ru-N distances (1.974 and 1.981 Å) of  $[Ru(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ , showing relief of the internal strain energy. The averaged Ru- $N_{\text{pyrazolate}}$  distance is ca. 2.061 A, which is also akin to

<sup>(21) (</sup>a) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270. (b) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284. (c) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 299.

<sup>(22) (</sup>a) Jamorski, C.; Casida, M. E.; Salahub, D. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 5134. (b) Petersilka, M.; Grossmann, U. J.; Gross, E. K. U. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 76, 1212. (c) Bauernschmitt, R.; Ahlrichs, R.; Hennrich, F. H.; Kappes, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5052. (d) Casida, M. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 108, 4439. (e) Stratmann, R. E.; Scuseria, G. E.; Frisch, M. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 8218.

<sup>(23)</sup> Gaussian 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, **2004**.<br>(24) Bark, T.; Thummel, R. P. <u>Inorg. Chem</u>. **2005**, 44, 8733.<br>(25) (a) Gorelsky, S. I. *AOMix: Program for Molecular Orbital Analysis*;

University of Ottawa: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2007; http://www.sg-chem.net/. (b) Gorelsky, S. I.; Lever, A. B. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 635, 187.

<sup>(26) (</sup>a) Chang, S.-Y.; Chen, J.-L.; Chi, Y.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Lee, G.-H.; Jiang, C.-M.; Chou, P.-T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 46, 11202. (b) Chang, S.-Y.; Kavitha, J.; Hung, J.-Y.; Chi, Y.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Li, E. Y.; Chou, P.-T.; Lee, G.-H.; Carty, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 7064.

 $(27)$  (a) Vos, J. G.; Kelly, J. M. *Dalton Trans*. **2006**, 4869. (b) Browne, W. R.; O'Boyle, N. M.; McGarvey, J. J.; Vos, J. G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 641. (c) Koo, C. K.; Lam, B.; Leung, S. K.; Lam, M. H. W.; Wong, W. Y. J. Am. Chem.

Soc. 2006, 128, 16434.<br>
(28) Lashgari, K.; Kritikos, M.; Norrestam, R.; Norrby, T. <u>Acta Crystal</u>logr., Sect. C 1999, C55, 64.

<sup>(29)</sup> Rillema, D. P.; Jones, D. S.; Woods, C.; Levy, H. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1992, 31, 2935.



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of 2 with thermal ellipsoids shown at 25% property level. Selective distances ( $\AA$ ): Ru-N(1) = 2.051(3), Ru-N(2) = 2.051(3), Ru-N(3) = 2.039(3), Ru-N(5) = 2.042(3), Ru-N(6) = 2.039(3), Ru-N(7) = 2.036(3), C(26)-O(1) = 1.224(4), N(8) $\cdots$ H(11A) = 2.817. Bond angles (deg):  $N(1) - Ru - N(3) = 172.2(1)$ ,  $N(5) - Ru - N (7) = 173.3(1), N(2) - Ru - N(6) = 174.2(1).$ 

those (2.063 and 2.065 Å) observed in neutral  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  complex  $[Ru(fpby)_{2}]$ <sup>12a</sup> Finally, one methylene proton of each fpbpymH chelate displays a shortened nonbonding contact to one pyrazolate N atom of the second fpbpymH chelate  $[N(8)\cdots H(11A) = 3.083$  and  $N(4)\cdots H(26B) = 2.970$  Å]. This intramolecular  $C-H \cdots N$  bonding interaction is expected to increase its reactivity toward other reagents such as dioxygen present in the reaction media.

We then made attempts to conduct a reaction of 1 with  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  for generation of the hypothetical neutral complex  $\left[\text{Ru(fpbpym)}_{2}\right]$ . Another alternative involves heating of the metal reagent  $[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]$  with 2 equiv of fpbpymH in basified ethanol. Both reactions, unfortunately, failed to produce the designated  $[Ru(fpbpym)_2]$ but instead afforded a purple product in ∼35% yield, which is denoted as 2. The  ${}^{1}H$  NMR analysis of 2 showed two doublets, which integrate for a total of two protons at  $\delta$  4.79 and 4.54 with  $J_{\text{HH}} = 17$  Hz. These spectral data unambiguously indicate one methylene-to-carbonyl group conversion, as revealed by the simultaneous appearance of a  $v_{\rm CO}$  stretching band at 1628 cm<sup>-1</sup> in its IR spectrum. Furthermore, the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of 2 exhibited a total of 16 aromatic resonances in the region  $\delta$ 8.84-6.10, expected for two nonequivalent bpy and two pyrazolate fragments.

As indicated in Figure 2, the structure of 2 consists of a less distorted coordination geometry versus that observed for 1, showing bond angles of  $N(1)-Ru-N(3) =$  $172.2(1)^\circ$ , N(5)-Ru-N(7) = 173.3(1)°, and N(2)-Ru- $N(6) = 174.2(1)$ ° and the absence of counteranions. For both terdentate chelates, Ru-N distances involving pyrazolates  $(2.036-2.039 \text{ A})$  turned relatively shorter than those of the neutral bipyridine units  $(2.039-2.051 \text{ Å})$ ; the results can be rationalized by the increased Coulomb interaction between the cationic Ru<sup>II</sup> metal center and anionic pyrazolates.<sup>30</sup> On the one hand, the fpbpym chelate shows a puckered geometry due to the



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of 3 with thermal ellipsoids shown at 25% property level. Selective distances ( $\AA$ ): Ru-N(1) = 2.053(3), Ru-N(2) = 2.040(3), Ru-N(3) = 2.016(3), Ru-N(5) = 2.044(3), Ru-N(6) = 2.045(3), Ru-N(7) = 2.039(3), C(11)-O(1) = 1.226(5), C(26)-O(2) = 1.223(4). Bond angles (deg):  $N(1) - Ru - N(3) = 172.2(1)$ ,  $N(5) - Ru N(7) = 170.9(1), N(2)-Ru-N(6) = 172.2(1).$ 

sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridized nature of the methylene group. On the other hand, the newly formed fpbpyk chelate reveals a planar arrangement, which is attributed to the sp<sup>2</sup>-hybridized carbonyl group. It is also noted that the methylene group of the fpbpym chelate remains close to the uncoordinated pyrazolic N atom of the fpbpyk chelate, for which the shorter  $C-H \cdots N$  contact of 2.817 A implicates nonnegligible bonding interaction, similar to those observed in 1. For a fair comparison, the sum of the van der Waals radii of N and H atoms is calculated as  $\sim$ 2.8 Å.<sup>31</sup> Note that recent literature also reported the  $C-H \cdots N$ hydrogen-bonding distances as  $\sim$ 2.95 Å in the 3D packing of self-assembled organic materials, which provides the maximum distance for weaker  $C-H \cdots N$  bonding.<sup>32</sup>

To assess the chemical stability of 2, we conducted thermolysis in a DGME solvent at 170  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  for a period of 24 h. This harsh condition gave formation of a second charge-neutral  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  complex 3 that possesses two fpbpyk chelates. Again, the X-ray crystal structural study of 3 was examined, revealing two mutually orthogonal fpbpyk chelates. Their bonding arrangement is similar to that observed in 2 (Figure 3), except that the  $C-O$ distances span  $1.223$  and  $1.226$  Å, while both fpbpyk chelates interact with the central  $Ru_i^{\text{II}}$  cation with typical  $Ru-N_{\text{bpy}}$  distances of 2.044-2.053 Å and  $Ru-N_{\text{pyrazolate}}$ distances of  $2.016$  and  $2.039$  Å.

We further carried out the reaction of fpbpymH with the third-row congener  $OsCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  in DGME at 170 °C, giving the doubly oxidized  $Os<sup>H</sup>$  complex 4 as the sole product (Chart 3). As expected, this product showed no discernible high-field  ${}^{1}H$  NMR signal attributed to the methylene protons, showing its intimate correlation to the  $Ru<sup>II</sup>$  complex 3. The single-crystal structural analysis of 4 was conducted. As shown in Figure 4, both of the skeletal arrangement and metric parameters are identical with those of 3.

Hence, according to the chemistry established for both  $Ru<sup>II</sup>$  and  $Os<sup>II</sup>$  systems, the instability of 1 is believed to be

<sup>(30) (</sup>a) Tung, Y.-L.; Lee, S.-W.; Chi, Y.; Chen, L.-S.; Shu, C.-F.; Wu, F.-I.; Carty, A. J.; Chou, P.-T.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 1059. (b) Tung, Y.-L.; Chen, L.-S.; Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Li, E. Y.; Lee, G.-H.; Shu, C.-F.; Wu, F.-I.; Carty, A. J. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2006, 16, 1615.

<sup>(31) (</sup>a) Batsanov, S. S. *Inorg. Mater*. 2001, 37, 871. (b) Taylor, R.; Kennard, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5063.<br>(32) Moorthy, J. N.; Natarajan, R.; Savitha, G.; Venugopalan, P. *Cryst.* 

Growth Des. 2006, 6, 919.



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of 4 with thermal ellipsoids shown at 25% properties level; selective distances:  $Os-N(1) = 2.047(4)$ ,  $Os-N(2) =$  $2.043(4)$ ,  $Os-N(3) = 2.041(4)$ ,  $Os-N(5) = 2.044(4)$ ,  $Os-N(6) =$ 2.047(4),  $Os-N(7) = 2.036(4)$ ,  $C(11)-O(1) = 1.227(6)$ ,  $C(26)-O(2) =$ 1.224(6) A and bond angles:  $N(1)-Os-N(3) = 171.4(2)$ ,  $N(5)-Os N(7) = 170.9(2), N(2) - Os - N(6) = 174.4(2)$ °.

due to the facile oxidation capability at the fpbpymH sites. A similar increase of the chemical reactivity of N-heterocyclic chelates was also reported in the literature,<sup>14,33</sup> which unambiguously demonstrated that transition-metal ions can exert considerable influence on the metal-mediated or catalyzed oxygenation of alkane.

To further explore the origin of the incorporated O  $atom(s)$  in complexes  $2-4$ , a series of control and isotopelabeling experiments were carried out, including comparative reactions under regular and 18O-enriched dioxygen atmospheres. Accordingly, refluxing of the ethanol solution of 2 under a dioxygen atmosphere in the presence of  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  afforded 3 with an improved yield to 83%. Moreover, the reaction of  $\text{[Ru(DMSO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]}$  with 2 equiv of fpbpymH in DGME at  $170$  °C under a dioxygen atmosphere also gave the doubly oxidized product 3 in 72% yield. Bearing this result in mind, we switched the oxygen atmosphere of our control reaction to the  $^{18}O$ enriched dioxygen and then repeated the synthesis. To our surprise, analyses of IR and FAB-MS spectral data showed no incorporation of the  $^{18}$ O oxygen label. Therefore, the methylene-to-carbonyl conversion seems not to originate from the direct attack of the dioxygen reagent but is catalyzed by the dioxygen introduced. Unfortunately, the detailed mechanism involving the possible dioxygen participation and C-H abstraction remained unclear at the current stage.

3.2. Electrochemical Properties. The redox properties of reference complexes  $[Ru(fpby)_{2}]$ ,  $[Os(fpby)_{2}]$  (Chart 4), and 2-4 were next investigated in acetonitrile and with ferrocene as the internal standard, while a study of 1 was skipped because of its poor solubility in acetonitrile and greater sensitivity toward oxygen during electrochemical measurement, making the data unreliable. As depicted in Table 2, the reference complexes  $[Ru(fpby)<sub>2</sub>]$  and





 $[Os(fpby)<sub>2</sub>]$  exhibit one-electron reversible oxidation peak potentials at  $+0.16$  and  $-0.11$  V quoted versus a  $Fc/Fc^+$  standard and one-electron reversible reduction peak potentials at  $-1.97$  and  $-1.92$  V, respectively. [Os- $(f \text{pby})_2$ ] showed a notable cathodic shift in the potential for oxidation versus that of the  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  counterpart, which is attributed to the lowered intrinsic potential of the  $Os<sup>H</sup>$ metal element. In contrast, the nearly equal potential for the reduction of both complexes shows electron addition to the  $\pi^*$  orbital of the fpbpy chelate, which is less susceptible to the influence of the central metal atom.

On the other hand, complex 2 exhibits one-electron reversible metal-centered oxidation at 0.03 V as well as two-electron reversible waves at  $-1.79$  and  $-2.19$  V because of the sequential reduction occurring at fpbpyk and fpbpym chelates, respectively (see Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). In addition, both the oxidation and first reduction potentials of 2 are less and more positive compared to those of the previously discussed bis-terdentate complex [Ru(fpbpy)<sub>2</sub>], showing a reduced HOMO/LUMO energy gap. This result is in good agreement with the natural of the fpbpyk chelate, for which the carbonyl group exerts an extended  $\pi$  conjugation versus that of the parent fpbpy chelate. Because the carbonyl group of fpbpyk is also more electronegative, further stabilization of the metal-centered  $d_{\pi}$  orbital is expected for the fpbpyk chelate. As such, the oxidation wave for 3  $(0.25 \text{ V})$  is more positive than that occurring for 2  $(0.03 \text{ V})$ V), showing the consequence for the introduction of two (e.g., 3) and one (e.g., 2) fpbpyk chelates, respectively. Moreover, two less positive reduction waves at  $-1.71$  and  $-1.92$  V of 3 are corresponding to the one-electron reduction at each of the fpbpyk chelates. It has been reported that the anodic shifts are, in principle, exerted by localization of an electron on the carbonyl group of each terdentate chelate.<sup>24</sup>

Oxidation of the Os<sup>II</sup> complex 4 occurs at  $-0.05$  V, which is again more negative than that of the Ru<sup>II</sup> analogue 3, consistent with the lower potential for the third-row transition-metal elements. Likewise, the reduction waves at  $-1.67$  and  $-1.93$  V, which are essentially identical with those of 3, show one-electron ligand-centered reduction occurring at each of the fpbpyk chelates. Finally, as compared to the literature data of cationic complexes  $[Ru(tpy)_2]^{2+}$  and  $[Os(tpy)_2]^{2+}$  (Table 2),<sup>34</sup> all redox potentials of 3 and 4 are found to be more negative than those recorded for the tpy analogues, a result that is attributed to the anionic charge associated with the fpbpy chelates.

<sup>(33) (</sup>a) Jitsukawa, K.; Oka, Y.; Yamaguchi, S.; Masuda, H. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 8119. (b) ten Hoedt, R. W. M.; Hulsbergen, F. B.; Verschoor, G. C.; Reedijk, J. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2369. (c) Lee, D.-H.; Murthy, N. N.; Karlin, K. D. *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, 35, 804.

<sup>(34)</sup> Encinas, S.; Flamigni, L.; Barigelletti, F.; Constable, E. C.; Housecroft, C. E.; Schofield, E. R.; Figgemeier, E.; Fenske, D.; Neuburger, M.; Vos, J. G.; Zehnder, M. Chem.--Eur. J. 2002, 8, 137.



<sup>a</sup> Emissions were measured using a solid-state (powder) sample at room temperature. <sup>b</sup> Potentials were quoted versus the Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> reference; oxidation and reduction potentials were measured in acetonitrile;  $\Delta E_p$  is defined as  $E_{ap}$  (anodic peak potential) –  $E_{cp}$  (cathodic peak potential), and these data are quoted in millivolts. CData were measured in an alcohol solution. <sup>d</sup>The numbers in parentheses were measured in the 77 K methanol glass. CData were measured in the 77 K methanol glass.  $f$  See ref<sup>4a</sup>.



Figure 5. Absorption spectra in an acetonitrile solution and normalized solid-state (powder) emission spectra of complexes 1-4 recorded at room temperature.

3.3. Photophysical Properties. Pertinent photophysical data of all titled complexes  $1-4$  are also collected in Table 2, and the absorption spectra recorded in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$ are depicted in Figure 5. In general, complexes 1-4 possess allowed absorption bands in the UV region of  $\leq$  375 nm, for which  $\varepsilon$  values at the absorption maxima were measured to be  $>10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and can thus be attributed to the ligand-centered  ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$  transitions of the chelates, i.e., fpbpymH, fpbpym, and fpbpyk. Next, the lower-lying absorption band with peak wavelengths at 400-600 nm can be reasonably assigned to a spin-allowed MLCT transition in the singlet manifold. The carbonyl functionality in the fpbpyk chelate, in part, elongates the  $\pi$  conjugation and hence decreases the MLCT gap (vide infra). Thus, complexes  $2-4$  containing the fpbpyk chelate showed the <sup>r</sup>MLCT absorption being further redshifted from that of complex 1. The much longer wavelength absorption band observed in  $4$  (cf.  $1-3$ ) is consistent with the oxidation potential of Os<sup>II</sup> being ~0.3 V more negative than that of the  $Ru<sup>II</sup>$  analogue 3 (see section 3.2).

As for the emission, the releasing of strained chelating bite angles for  $1-4$  is thought to have significant effects on improving the emission quantum yields. In contrast, the emission for the titled complexes  $1-4$ , i.e., the phosphorescence, is too weak to be resolved in either an aerated or a degassed solution at room temperature. This result is intriguing. Because the emission is expected to be in NIR, one may promptly mull that the emission is subject to dominant quenching via certain potential nonradiative pathways: (i) intersection with dd repulsive PES and (ii) operation of the energy gap law,  $35$  stating that the electronic transition with a low energy gap may be quenched by either high-frequency vibrational modes or the low-frequency, high-density vibrational overlaps. The latter path of ii may mainly be attributed to the hindered vibration of the methylene or carbonyl group associated with the fpbpym or fpbpyk ligands. However, except for 1, pathway i is not quite possible because of the far separation between  $3\text{MLCT}$  and  $3\text{MC}$  dd states (vide infra). As for ii, the large amplitude, low-frequency vibrations, in theory, may be subsided in the rigid solid state. As a result, in the solid state, moderate emissions appear for complexes  $2-4$  at room temperature (see Figure 5). This viewpoint is also supported by the emission of 2-4 resolved in the 77 K MeOH glass, which showed a slight blue-shifted emission (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information and Table 2). Moreover, the emission quantum yields span the range from 0.012 to 0.007 (cf. 2 and 4), which are far less efficient than that for  $Ru(tpy)_2^{2+}$  in 77 K methanol glass ( $\Phi = 0.48$ ).<sup>4a</sup> Because moderate/weak emission was still observed, it is thus confirmed that the nonradiative decay, in certain part, is governed by the high-frequency vibrational modes, i.e., the operation of energy gap law, because of the low energy gap. For 1, the emission yield in the 77 K methanol glass was measured to be ∼0.08. In addition to pathway ii, we demonstrate in the Computational Methodology section that the  ${}^{3}$ MC dd state may also play a role in emission quenching.

The trend of the emission peak wavelength [666 nm (1)  $<$  795 nm (3)  $<$  810 nm (2)  $<$  ~1000 nm (4)] among titled complexes in the solid state is in agreement with the corresponding onset of absorption spectra of  $1 \leq 3 \leq 2$ < 4. To gain more insight into the photophysical properties of these NIR luminescent  $Ru^{f1}$  and  $Os^{f1}$  complexes, the TDDFT method is applied on the basis of the optimized ground-state structures for all four complexes to calculate the properties of the low-energy electronic transitions. Major frontier orbitals involved in the lowlying transitions are depicted in Figure 6, while the

<sup>(35) (</sup>a) Kober, E. M.; Caspar, J. V.; Lumpkin, R. S.; Meyer, T. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 3722. (b) Treadway, J. A.; Loeb, B.; Lopez, R.; Anderson, P. A.; Keene, F. R.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 2242.



Figure 6. Frontier orbitals of complexes  $1-4$  that were involved in the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  and  $S_0 \rightarrow T_1$  transitions.

Table 3. Calculated Energy Levels and Orbital Transition Analyses of Complexes  $1 - 4$ 

	complex states $\lambda_{\text{cal}}$		assignment	<b>MLCT</b> $(\%)$
$\mathbf{1}$	$T_1$	517.3 0	$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (+92%)$	59.90
	$S_1$		490.5 0.0054 HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (+98%)	63.81
$\mathbf{2}$	$T_{1}$	732.4 0	$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (+90\%)$	46.18
			$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1(+8%)$	
	$S_1$		689.6 0.0186 HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (+91%)	43.10
3	$T_{1}$	665.6 0	$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (+94%)$	45.73
	$S_1$		614.8 0.0252 HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (+89%)	43.30
	$T_{1}$	807.5 0	$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (+98%)$	48.72
	$\mathrm{S}_1$		699.1 0.0317 HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (+83%)	35.33

calculated properties, such as energy gaps in terms of wavelength  $(\lambda)$ , oscillator strengths  $(f)$ , and corresponding transition assignments, are listed in Table 3. For all complexes, the calculated lower-lying transitions match well with respect to the experimental results. For example, the S<sub>1</sub> states of 1-4 are calculated to be around ~490, 690, 615, and 700 nm, the values of which are all close to the observed onsets of the absorption spectra recorded in an acetonitrile solution. The calculated  $S_0-T_1$  gaps of  $1-4$ (1, 517 nm; 2, 730 nm; 3, 665 nm; 4, 807 nm) are also qualitatively in agreement with the blue edge of their emission spectra recorded in the solid state (powdery samples) as well as in the 77 K methanol glass.

As listed in Table 3, the lowest singlet and triplet excited states  $(S_1 \text{ and } T_1)$  for all four complexes are primarily attributed to the  $HOMO \rightarrow LUMO$  transition, for which the HOMO of  $1-4$  is mainly localized at the central metal atom and, in part, the pyrazolate moiety, while the LUMO is mainly located in the bipyridyl moiety, implying that the lowest-lying transition involves MLCT mixed with an intraligand charge-transfer transition. Both HOMO and LUMO energies of 1 are apparently lower than those of other complexes due to the  $2+$  positive charge associated with the metal center. Figure 6 also depicts the difference of the HOMO energy between Ru<sup>11</sup> (3) and  $Os<sup>H</sup>$  (4) complexes under the same ligand environment. Clearly, compared to the  $Ru<sup>II</sup>$  metal atom, the lower oxidation state of the  $Os<sup>II</sup>$  atom leads to a higher HOMO energy for 4, consistent with the electrochemical



**Figure 7.** Energy diagram of complexes  $1 - 4$ . <sup>3</sup>MLCT indicates the lowest triplet electronic state obtained from unrestricted ontimization lowest triplet electronic state obtained from unrestricted optimization, starting from X-ray crystal structures. The  ${}^{3}$ MC dd state is also refined by the same method, but the initial structure is from a largely distorted geometry; see the text for a detailed description.

result of the lower oxidation potential in 4 (vide supra) and hence the smallest energy gap for the phosphorescence.

Finally, upon elongation of the chelate  $\pi$  conjugation, the proof of concept for tuning the relative energy gap between the  ${}^{3}$ MLCT emitting and  ${}^{3}$ MC dd states is elaborated for all complexes. In this approach, the higherlying <sup>3</sup>MC dd states were calculated following methodology illustrated by Persson.<sup>16</sup> Details of the calculation are described in the Experimental Section. The result shown in Figure 7 clearly indicates that the replacement of fpbpymH chelates in 1 with at least one fpbpyk chelate in 2-4 renders better  $\pi$  conjugation on the coordination sphere. The net result is to decrease the energy of the  $\pi^*$ orbital and hence the reduction of the  ${}^{3}$ MLCT energy level in  $2-4$ , whereas the <sup>3</sup>MC dd state is much less affected. This leads to an increase of the energy gap between the  ${}^{3}$ MLCT and  ${}^{3}$ MC dd states for 2-4 than that for 1, as shown in Figure 7. In fact, because of the proximity in the energy level for 1, the  ${}^{3}\text{MLCT} \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{MC} \rightarrow$  $S_0$  radiationless deactivation process, in part, may account for its extremely weak emissive nature in solution at room temperature. In contrast, this process is less thermally accessible, as evidenced by the good emission yield (∼0.08; Table 2) of 1 in the 77 K matrix. Finally, despite the fact that a larger gap is observed between the <sup>3</sup>MLCT and <sup>3</sup>MC dd states for 2 and likewise 3 and 4, emission was only slightly improved for  $2-4$  in methanol at 77 K simply because of their near-IR emission gap, which is subject to vibrational quenching.

#### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a new series of bipyridyl-pyrazolate chelates and the corresponding  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  and  $Os<sup>H</sup>$  complexes  $1-4$ were designed and synthesized. The exact molecular structures of 1-4 have been well-established by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Complex 1 is readily oxygenated and converted to 2, showing the formation of one fpbpyk chelate bearing the carbonyl group, which is produced by methylene oxidation on the terdentate fpbpymH chelate. Upon a further increase in the temperature and extension of the reaction time, the moderately stable 2 can be further oxidized to the thermodynamic product 3 with two oxygenated fpbpyk chelates. It is believed that the oxidation of the methylene

may originate from an intramolecular  $C-H \cdots N$  interaction between one methylene proton and the pyrazolate N atom of the adjacent fpbpymH chelate, as well as the yet unexplored catalytic effect associated with both a centered metal cation and the dioxygen introduced. Thus, oxidative conversion from fpbpymH to fpbpyk chelate caused significant alterations on the inherent properties of the corresponding metal complexes. Finally, all complexes exhibit  $>600-1100$  nm far-visible and NIR emission in the solid state at room temperature, of which the spectral features can be well rationalized by the associated electronic transition properties. Changes in the emission property, in part, are due to the incorporation of a carbonyl group that increases  $\pi$  conjugation and releases the internal constraint between the metal and terdentate ligand. We thus believe that the results presented here might provide new insight into the further

design of flexible terdentate bipyridyl pyrazolate ligands used in the preparation of  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$  and  $Os<sup>H</sup>$  complexes with potentially high luminescent efficiency in the NIR region.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Council and Ministry of Economic Affairs of Taiwan. We are also grateful to the National Center for High-Performance Computing for computer time and facilities.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data files (CIF) of complexes  $1-4$ , frontier orbital distributions and net spin values from DFT calculation, emission spectra, and electrochemical spectra of the relevant complexes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.